

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexardria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/809,055	03/25/2004	Scott Lauffer	DC-06923	DC-06923 7005	
33438 75	90 . 06/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HAMILTON & TERRILE, LLP P.O. BOX 203518			PAPE, ZACHARY		
AUSTIN, TX 78720			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2835		
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005	DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/809,055	LAUFFER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachary M. Pape	2835	
	Lacitary W. Fape	2000	

·	Zachary M. Pape	2835						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•	· —	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	,	)TE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for.					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTÓL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(1 10E-0E+).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:</li> </ul>								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attact	ned.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The applicants remarks regarding claims 1 and 9 are redundent and have been addressed in the final rejection filed 5/4/2005.  Applicant is respectfully directed to Pages 7 (Paragraph 24) and 8 of the final rejection. With respect to applicants remarks that the motivation is not properly stated and is improper hindsite, the applicant is respectfully directed to Hathcock et al. Column 2  Line 65 - Column 3 Line 1 wherein it is taught that the motivation for using the cable ring of Hathcock et al. is to securely retain wires or cables therein as described by the examiner on page 7 of the final rejection.								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:								
	Mar 9 PR	WEOLY VORTMAN MARY EXAMINER						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office